



2 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094-2536

+1 310 301 5800

🖶 +1 310 823 8649

5 May 2018

Ms. Manal Ismail Chair, ICANN Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC)

Dear Ms. Ismail:

On behalf of the ICANN Board, I am writing to provide you an update on the Board's consideration of the GAC's advice in the San Juan Communiqué concerning the European Union General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and WHOIS. At the Board's 3 May 2018 meeting, the Board continued its discussions about the GAC's advice, and took action to initiate the first step of the process required when the Board determines that it may take an action that is not consistent or may not be consistent with the GAC's advice (i.e. the Process for Consultations between the ICANN Board of Directors and the Governmental Advisory Committee, including those required pursuant to Article XI Section 2.1.j of the ICANN Bylaws "Process").

As you are aware, the ICANN Board is considering adopting an "Interim Model (May 25)" for how ICANN and gTLD registries and registrars could continue to comply with existing ICANN contractual requirements and community-developed policies in light of the GDPR. The Interim Model (May 25) attempts to balance the values of the existing practices and policy work that has established the current WHOIS system with the new law. The Board's current thinking and approach on the proposed Interim Model (May 25) is inconsistent or could be viewed as inconsistent with certain items of the GAC's advice, and as a result the Board would find great benefit in having an exchange with the GAC on these matters. For example, it would be helpful to understand which elements of the GAC's advice are intended to apply to (1) the Interim Model (May 25) requirements (to be provided in a Temporary Specification) that would be applicable to registries and registrars on 25 May 2018 versus (2) the requirements that result from the "Ultimate Model" to be developed by the community through the one-year policy development process triggered by the Board's adoption of the Temporary Specification . Reaching a common understanding of the GAC's advice in relation to the Interim Model (May 25) versus the Ultimate Model would greatly assist the Board's deliberations on the GAC's advice.

Additionally, attached to this letter is a scorecard identifying other questions or concerns the Board has regarding the GAC's advice and areas where further information regarding the advice would be beneficial. Please note that in order to provide more clarity, the Board has attempted to edit pieces of the advice to reflect the terminology used above for describing the Interim Model (May 25) versus the Ultimate Model. Those edits are for consideration and subject to confirmation by the GAC.

The Process requires the Board's response to be the subject of an exchange between the Board and the GAC. To this end, the Board proposes to discuss these matters via a conference call with the GAC during the week of 7-13 May 2018. The Board acknowledges the short notice of this request but given the fast-approaching effective date of the GDPR realizes the need to move as quickly as possible to address the GAC advice prior to the Board taking action on an Interim Model (May 25).



The Board looks forward to continued discussion with the GAC on these important matters and stands ready to provide any additional information that may be helpful to the GAC in advance of the proposed conference call. The Board also would welcome any additional clarity or guidance from the GAC on these matters in the interim.

Cherine Chalaby

Chair, ICANN Board of Directors

As of 5 May 2018

		_
	2	Н
	V.1.a.	Reference V.1.a.
to instruct the ICANN Organization to: ii. Provide a detailed rationale for the choices made in the ULTIMATE model, explaining their necessity and proportionality in relation to the legitimate purposes identified:	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	The GAC advises the ICANN Board to instruct the ICANN Organization to: i. Ensure that the proposed ULTIMATE model maintains current WHOIS requirements to the fullest extent possible;
	Defer	Category Defer
relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.

		טאר שהזרב זבי בחו סלבשוו בחוקון מבחבושו שמיש ז הנברנומו עב ששנימו (מדו ע	on acticiat para	TOUCCHOIL ING MICHAEL (ADI IN)
Com Ref	Communique Reference	GAC Advice	Category	Proposed Response
3 V.1.a.	a.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board to instruct the ICANN Organization	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		iii. In particular, <u>for the</u> ULTIMATE model, reconsider		
		the proposal to hide the		
		registrant email address as		
		this may not be		
		proportionate in view of the		
		significant negative impact		
		on law enforcement,		
		cybersecurity and rights		
		protection;		
4 V.1.a.	a.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that
		to instruct the ICANN Organization		relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		iv. For the ULTIMATE model,		
		Distinguish between legal		
		and natural persons,		
		allowing for public access to		
		WHOIS data of legal entities,		
		which are not in the remit of		
		the GDPR;		

			5
			V.1.a.
	v. Ensure continued access to the WHOIS, including non-public data, for users with a legitimate purpose, from 25 May 2018 until the time when the ULTIMATE WHOIS model is fully operational, on a mandatory basis for all contracted parties;	to instruct the ICANN Organization to:	The GAC advises the ICANN Board
	GAC consultation	discussion during Board-	Subject for
While the accreditation program is being developed and implemented, the current proposal in the Interim Compliance Model would require registries and registrars to provide reasonable access to full registration data to third parties for the purposes of the legitimate interests pursued by the third party, except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the registrant which require protection of personal data. As part of the Board-GAC consultation progress, it could be useful to receive additional clarity from the GAC with regard to this approach to understand whether or not it is consistent with the GAC's advice.	WHOIS data, while an accreditation program for layered/tiered access is being developed. The Interim Compliance Model attempts to balance the legitimate interests expressed in the competing viewpoints and has highlighted this matter as an area where additional guidance from DPAs would be appreciated. In its 11 April 2018 letter, the Article 29 Working Party welcomed "the fact that the Final Interim Model involves layered access and foresees an 'accreditation program' for access to non-public WHOIS data. That being said, important details remain absent regarding the circumstances in which access will be provided, to what extent and under which conditions and safeguards."	community about (i) which elements of WHOIS data should be published in public WHOIS, and (ii) how to access non-public	GAC Advice re: European Union General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) AC advises the ICANN Board Subject for As described in the Cookbook, there are competing views in the

				a a construction and a construct
	Communique	GAC Advice	Category	Proposed Response
	Reference			
6	V.1.a.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that
		to instruct the ICANN Organization		relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the
				GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		vi. For the ULTIMATE model,		
		Ensure that limitations in		
		terms of query volume		
		envisaged under an		
		accreditation program		
		balance realistic		
		investigatory cross-		
		referencing needs; and		
7	V.1.a.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that
		to instruct the ICANN Organization		relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		
				GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		vii. <u>For the ULTIMATE model,</u>		
		Ensure confidentiality of		
		WHOIS queries by law		
		enforcement agencies.		

	-	Give transce ie: But opean oment dement but a rotten	IOH OCHCI AI Bara	I I OLECTION MESMICHION (ODI M)
	Reference	GAC Advice	Category	Proposed Kesponse
8	V.1.b.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that
		to instruct the ICANN Organization		relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		i. Complete the <u>ULTIMATE</u>		
		model as swiftly as possible,		
		taking into account the		
		advice above. Once the		
		model is finalized, the GAC		
		will complement ICANN's		
		outreach to the Article 29		
		Working Party, inviting them		
	-	to provide their views;	-	
(i i	to inchain the ICANIN Despisation	coara pc	beiof the ICANN Board on possible implementation mechanisms
			immodiatoly	for the laterine Compliance Model Among the eating being
		Ö.	IIIIIIiediately	considered is the option for the Roard to adopt a temporary
				considered is the option for the board to adopt a temporary
		II. Consider the use of		
		Temporary Policies and/or		outlined in Specification 1 of the Registry Agreement, and the
		Special Amendments to		Consensus and Temporary Policies Specification of the 2013
		ICANN's standard Registry		Registrar Accreditation Agreement.
		and Registrar contracts to		
		mandate implementation of		In the Board's response, it could explain that additional
		an interim model and a		implementation mechanisms were considered, such as a global
		temporary access		contract amendment, but given the required steps and timeline
		mechanism; and		constraints (e.g. 6 -7 months at a minimum to negotiate a global
				amendment), this approach was not viewed as viable option
				given the May 2018 implementation deadline for GDPR. ICANN
				org will continue to discuss potential implementation options
				with the Board and community as appropriate.

	Communique	GAC Advice	Category	Proposed Response
	Reference			
10	V.1.b.	The GAC advises the ICANN Board	Defer	The Board would defer dealing with this piece of advice that
		to instruct the ICANN Organization		relate to the Ultimate Model until the PDP is well advanced. In
		to:		addition, the Board could facilitate a discussion between the
				GAC and the GNSO regarding this piece of advice.
		iii. For the ULTIMATE model,		
		Assist in informing other		
		national governments not		
		represented in the GAC of		
		the opportunity for		
		individual governments, if		
		they wish to do so, to		
		provide information to		
		ICANN on governmental		
		users to ensure continued		
		access to WHOIS.		